[Electronic Journal of Biotechnology 72 \(2024\) 29–40](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2024.07.005)

Electronic Journal of Biotechnology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejbt

Review article

The significance of chemical transfection/transduction enhancers in promoting the viral vectors-assisted gene delivery approaches: A focus on potentials for inherited retinal diseases ϕ

Sajad Najafi^{a,b,c}, Azam Rahimpour ^{d,e}, Hamid Ahmadieh ^c, Maryam Maleki Tehrani ^c, Mohammad Amin Khalilzad ^f, Fatemeh Suri -C*, Javad Ranjbari ^{a,b,}*

a Cellular and Molecular Biology Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

b Department of Medical Biotechnology, School of Advanced Technologies in Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^c Ophthalmic Research Center, Research Institute for Ophthalmology and Vision Science, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^d Medical Nanotechnology and Tissue Engineering Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^e Department of Tissue Engineering and Applied Cell Sciences, School of Advanced Technologies in Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran f Skin and Stem Cell Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

graphical abstracts and abstracts abstract abstracts

Enhancers in viral gene therapy of retina

Article history: Received 8 April 2024 Accepted 3 July 2024 Available online 18 September 2024

Keywords: Chemical transduction enhancers Chemical transfection enhancers Enhancer Gene delivery Gene therapy Inherited retinal diseases

ABSTRACT

Viral vectors are among the main approaches currently used in studies for executing gene delivery to target cells. During the past decades of active studies in gene therapy, including viruses, adenoviruses (Ads), lentiviruses (LVs), and adeno-associated viruses (AAVs), have received the most attention among the biological approaches where potentially successful outcomes are recorded for numerous genetic conditions. The success of delivery methods, however, remains unsatisfactory. Using some additives that can improve transgene expression, transfection efficiency, viral particle production, and transduction efficiency is considered as a solution to overcoming the limitations of gene delivery approaches. These additives include caffeine, histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors like sodium butyrate and valproic acid, and polycationic agents like polybrene and protamine sulfate. In this review article, we present an overview of viral vector-mediated retinal gene therapies and the application of some enhancers used to improve the outcomes of gene delivery. Three routes of administrating viral vectors into ocular compartments

 $\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{\triangle}}{ }$ Audio abstract available in Supplementary material.

Peer review under responsibility of Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso

⇑ Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: fatemehsuri@gmail.com (F. Suri), ranjbarijavad@sbmu.ac.ir (J. Ranjbari).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2024.07.005>

0717-3458/ 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).

Retinal gene therapies Transduction Transgene expression Viral vector

are employed for the delivery of target genes into impacted cells, and some additives have shown enhanced efficiency of gene delivery in retinal cells. The current study places a special focus on the viral vectors and enhancers used for gene therapies of inherited retinal diseases.

How to cite: Najafi S, Rahimpour A, Ahmadieh H, et al. The significance of chemical transfection/transduction enhancers in promoting the viral vectors-assisted gene delivery approaches: A focus on potentials for inherited retinal diseases. Electron J Biotechnol 2024;72. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2024.07.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2024.07.005) [005.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2024.07.005)

 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Contents

1. Introduction

Hereditary diseases with more than 7,000 involved genes are identified to impact more than 30 million of the American population and several hundred million patients with rare genetic diseases around the globe $[1]$. For those diseases, repair or change of the genetic materials are suggested as potential therapeutic strategies with promising durable and effective outcomes [\[2\].](#page-8-0) Throughout 30 years, gene therapy has proven successful in opening new windows in fighting against human diseases that were previously considered with restricted or no treatments. Of note, those treatments engendered hope for patients and families and experienced various transitions, while some concerns were raised regarding their efficacy and safety $[3]$. Gene therapy is defined as a process that aims to correct genetic deficiencies at their origin by introducing exogenous genetic materials to repair, replace, or compensate pathogenic gene mutations [\[4,5,6\].](#page-8-0) Generally, the main strategies in gene therapy include gene replacement, gene addition, and gene silencing. Other strategies are either deleting a genetic sequence or using editing techniques to disable disease-causing genes or editing those sequences [\[7\]](#page-8-0). These therapeutic approaches can be theoretically conducted by inserting a healthy copy of a distorted gene, compensating a missed gene with substantial function, and targeting or editing the pathogenic genes [\[8\]](#page-8-0). Gene therapy is also considered a potential therapy for some acquired non-inherited diseases like cancer and infections [\[9,10,11\]](#page-9-0). The cell function-altering genetic materials used in gene therapy are multiple and can include double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), single-stranded DNA, and antisense oligonucleotides (ASON) [\[12,13\].](#page-9-0) Compared to protein replacement, gene therapy offers several advantages, such as overcoming the low bioavailability of proteins, lower costs of production, and less requirements for parenteral administration $[6]$. The first successful clinical translation of gene therapy was achieved in the early 1990s by Anderson and his colleagues [\[14\]](#page-9-0) that was performed for Ashanthi DeSilva, who was diagnosed with adenosine deaminase deficiency severe combined immunodeficiency disease (ADA-SCID). That success was a product of several years of failure in trials during the 1970s. For instance, Dr. Stanfield Rogers was among the pioneers who planned the first trial for treating two sisters diagnosed with hyperargininaemia with Shope papilloma virus but did not receive any successful outcomes [\[15\].](#page-9-0) Although disappointing results in the next clinical trials shadowed the strategy success $[4]$, gene therapy was proven to work for several recessive genetic disorders (e.g., cystic fibrosis and hemophilia), chimeric antigen receptor T (CAR-T) cells for cancer $[16]$, and in some virus-caused diseases like the acquired immunodeficiency disease (AIDS) [\[17,18\].](#page-9-0) The successes of the application of gene therapies are mainly attributed to our accumulated knowledge about viruses [\[3\]](#page-8-0). Dozens of active clinical trials (more than 200 by 2022) were investigating the efficacy and safety of gene therapy systems to expand their potential application for the treatment of various human diseases [\[19\]](#page-9-0). As a result, more than 100 gene, cell, and RNA therapy products are approved for clinical use in patients with various diseases [\[20\].](#page-9-0)

The key element in the success of gene therapy is the development of safe and efficient transfer vectors [\[21\]](#page-9-0). In addition to targeted delivery within the physiologic environment, transgene vectors can be delivered ex vivo to the extracted cells and then transfused back to the patient $[3]$. Development of transfer systems is an essential need to protect the therapeutic nucleic acid against biological barriers and ensure specific delivery to target tissues and subcellular compartments [\[22\]](#page-9-0). DNA materials have an anionic charge, are sensitive to biological nucleases, and possess a large size, making them improper for passive transfer through the plasma membrane [\[23,24\].](#page-9-0) Generally, vehicles in gene delivery are divided into two classes of viral and non-viral vectors [\[25\];](#page-9-0) however, physical approaches including needle injection, electroporation, and gene guns are some approaches in addition to the conventional vectors [\[21\].](#page-9-0) A perfect vector should meet some requirements for an optimum gene therapy application. These include a lack of strong immunogenicity, the potential of transferring DNA at large sizes based on the construct sequence, the ability of sustained expression, targeting both dividing and non-dividing cells, and easy commercial processes of production [\[26,27\].](#page-9-0) Accordingly, virus-based vectors are the most commonly used vehicles, as they have demonstrated superior advantages to current non-viral transfer approaches (naked DNA, particle-based, and chemical-based) and thus, have attracted the majority of attention in research and clinical trials of gene therapy [\[28\]](#page-9-0). Notably, in this context, virotherapy used to target cancer cells has demonstrated promising achievements [\[29\].](#page-9-0)

2. Viral vectors for gene therapy

Viruses were the first elements naturally developed to target specific cells and transfer genetic information while protecting their genetic content against degradation benefitting from their life cycle [\[21\].](#page-9-0) They can specifically infect some dividing or nondividing cells and exploit the replication machinery in the host cells to preserve their genomes making them appropriate vehicles for therapeutic approaches [\[30\]](#page-9-0). Accordingly, viruses were also the earliest and still among the most commonly used vehicles for targeted gene delivery referred to as 'Trojan horses' offering employment for millions of years of evolution [\[31\].](#page-9-0) By eliminating the

non-essential and pathogenic genetic elements (e.g., those in replication-defective viruses) and replacement with transgenes, recombinant viral vectors can be engineered as safe and efficient approaches with high capacities for transgenes in cell-specific gene therapies [\[32\].](#page-9-0) Additionally, these vectors can be manipulated through pseudotyping to engineer their cell targets [\[33\]](#page-9-0). Viralbased vectors mainly originate from several major viruses like retroviruses, adenoviruses (Ads), and herpes simplex virus (HSV). Some characteristics that are necessary for gene transfer, such as the efficient carriage of genes of interest and long-term expression have made viruses good candidates for gene therapy [\[34\].](#page-9-0) Three major components of viral vectors used in gene therapy include a capsid (plus a/or replaced by an envelope) responsible for virus tropism, the transgene of interest for therapy, and regulatory elements [\[35\].](#page-9-0) Occasionally, some functional proteins are also used in the packaging structure. Viral vectors possess superior properties to non-viral vehicles, making them good vehicles for gene therapy (Fig. 1). These properties include high transduction efficacy, targeting dividing or non-dividing cells, and the possibility of transgene expression regulation for either transient or persistent goals [\[36\]](#page-9-0). However, some major limitations of the viral vector application include genomic integration resulting in mutagenesis and carcinogenesis (insertion mutagenesis), immune responses in frequent administration, limitations in packaging capacity, and difficulties in manufacturing high titers [\[37,38,39\].](#page-9-0) The concerns regarding the safety of viral vectors were raised particularly when a patient named Jesse Gelsinger who underwent viral vectorassisted gene therapy died of immune responses [\[40\].](#page-9-0) Ads, lentiviruses (LVs), and adeno-associated viruses (AAVs), respectively have received a majority of research and clinical focus during the past couple of decades of translational gene therapy bringing a wave of preclinical and clinical achievements $[3,41]$. Among the

	Viral vectors strengths and weak points		
	Advantages		Disadvantages
	Long term expression High transduction efficacy Targeting dividing and non-dividing cells Transgene degradation prevention		Mutagenesis/carcinogenesis
			Immunogenicity
			Limited packaging capacity
			Difficulty in high titer manufacturing
	Viral specific vector comparison		
		Advantages	Disadvantages
	Ads	High coding capacity	High toxicity High immunogenicity Viral inactivation via blood components
	LVs	Long term transduction Moderate immunogenicity	Limited transgene capacity
	AAVs	High transgene capacity	High toxicity High immunogenicity Viral inactivation via blood components

Fig. 1. A summary of advantages and limitations of viral vectors versus non-viral vectors for gene therapy goals.

clinically approved gene therapy products, viral vectors have demonstrated an acceptable efficacy and safety for a number of inherited and infectious diseases [\[19\]](#page-9-0).

3. Gene therapies in retinal diseases: How viral vectors can be beneficial?

Inherited retinal diseases (IRDs) are a group of heterogenous eye disorders characterized by degeneration of photoreceptors contributing to progressive loss of vision [\[42\].](#page-9-0) Various hereditary patterns are recognized for IRDs with more than 280 genes affected in monogenic IRDs (RetNet, <https://sph.uth.edu/retnet/>). Currently, no effective treatment is known for IRDs to modify the loss of retinal cells and the progressive visual dysfunction that eventually results in complete blindness in the affected patients $[43]$. As a potential therapy, targeted gene therapies, in particular gene replacement strategies, constitute a majority of preclinical models and active clinical trials seeking treatments to restore the visual function for many patients with IRDs [\[44\]](#page-9-0). The number of clinical trials in the past decade has rapidly increased with a hopeful vision of developing therapeutic strategies $[45]$. In addition to the significance of vision in human life and activities, several features regarding the IRDs make them noted for gene therapy studies. These features include access to various compartments of the retina, bypassing immunologic responses due to the presence of the blood-retina barrier that separates the retina from blood vessels to avoid systemic side effects, and the possibility of evaluations using non-invasive approaches like electroretinography (ERG) and optical coherence tomography (OCT) to monitor the transgene expression [\[46\]](#page-9-0). IRDs-associated genes mainly show specific expressions in the photoreceptor and retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cells. Thus, cell-specific treatments for targeting some particular cells of the retina can enhance the safety and efficacy of gene therapies $[46]$. Using vehicles offering specific targeting of retinal cells can suggest a solution for this bottleneck of retinal gene therapy. Two conventional routes of injection are employed for the delivery of gene therapy materials into retinal compartments [\[47\].](#page-9-0) Subretinal injection that is most commonly used in clinical trials for accessing retinal targets through the delivery of genetic materials into the subretinal ''bleb" under the fovea aims in reaching the photoreceptors and RPE, while reducing immunogenicity [\[1\]](#page-8-0). Although this is a more invasive approach and can cause mechanical damage to the retina further deteriorating the degenerate retina in IRDs, the bleb disappears upon absorption of the aqueous fluid $[48,49]$. Intravitreal injection is less invasive and protects degenerate retinas from further damage; however, it requires some special capsids to allow the viral vectors crossing the vitreous and penetrating the retina [\[1\]](#page-8-0). Non-viral vectors, such as polymeric and liposomal compounds, face the vitreous barrier restricting DNA uptake and diffusion to reach the retina and thus, their efficacy in retinal gene therapies remains challenging [\[50,51\].](#page-9-0) Employment of viral vectors particularly AAV-based vectors, and LVs in lesser extent, is actively being investigated for their ability to target photoreceptors in retinal gene therapies [\[52\]](#page-9-0). As AAVs are small in size, it supports their potential for effective and long-term transduction that is particularly shown in all layers of the retina including the photoreceptors and RPE [\[53,54\]](#page-9-0). AAV vectors, however, have limited capacity for transgenes and also, less probability to cross retinal barriers and causing immune responses [\[52\].](#page-9-0) LVs in contrast have larger capacities for transgenes and broad tropism particularly during development and in the degenerate retina $[46]$. Among AAVs, serotype 2 (AAV-2) is the most commonly used for gene delivery to retinal cells [\[55\]](#page-9-0). Gene replacement studies in animal models have revealed the feasibility and efficacy of AAVs in improving the outcomes of IRDs [\[56\]](#page-9-0). Although those studies in clinical trials had entered the advanced stages of evaluation for several IRDs like retinitis pigmentosa (RP), Leber's congenital amaurosis (LCA), and choroideremia (CHM), clinical translation still remains unattainable for a majority of other types of IRDs [\[57,58\]](#page-9-0). Development of cataract is an identified complication of vector administration to the retina [\[59\]](#page-9-0). The precise mechanism (s) responsible for those failures are not yet elucidated; however, weak transgene expression and immunologic responses are suggested as possible causes [\[1\].](#page-8-0) Further success of retinal gene therapies relies on the continued development of methods to ensure the specific targeting of primate retinal cells, and optimum expression of the transgene for identification of therapeutic doses that require examinations in large animals. Successful gene therapies also rely on the development of regulatory mechanisms for controlling transgene expression, investigation of vectors of relevant animals and appropriate patients, and production of viral vectors at therapeutic clinical scales [\[1,60\]](#page-8-0).

Voretigene neparvovec-rzyl (Luxturna, Spark Therapeutics) is a recombinant AAV (rAAV)-based gene therapy product that received the United States food and drug administration (USFDA) approval in 2017 for patients with biallelic retinal pigment epithelium-specific 65 kDa (RPE65) mutation-associated LCA [\[61,62\]](#page-9-0). Subsequently, the European Commission and Health Canada granted Luxturna clinical approval in 2018, and 2020, respectively [\[63\]](#page-10-0).

4. Transfection/Transduction efficacy in gene therapies

Theoretically, gene therapy is a simple approach and an efficient therapy for various human diseases. However, this process is complex in practice and requires several functioning solutions to overcome biological barriers for bringing the recombinant DNA intact to the nucleus of target cells [\[21,64\].](#page-9-0) Some requirements in delivery systems include avoiding contact with the blood cells and components, escaping uptake by surrounding vascular cells, and having a small size for facilitated transmembrane transportation [\[21\].](#page-9-0) The efficiency of gene delivery methods, biological or synthetic, is improved by using clinically relevant adjuncts, changing the delivery routes, employment of non-viral vectors, and application of specific promoters for the prolongation of transgene expression [\[64\]](#page-10-0). In particular, the employment of viral vectors has helped improve gene delivery efficiency via the transduction process [\[65\]](#page-10-0). The gene transfer efficiency, however, remains unsatisfactory since only one product has received approval for providing enough copies of the gene of interest at the target location $[65]$. This issue suggests that further requirements are needed for enhancing the efficiency and safety of gene transfer. Simple methods for expanding the production of viral particles in high titers can offer more extensive and effective potential of viral vectors for use in research and clinical applications [\[66\].](#page-10-0) Similarly, despite progress in the application of AAV and LV vectors for gene delivery to various cells in the retina, the success rate varies being affected by features of viral vectors $[46]$. To maximize the extent of cell uptake and delivery, and overcome limited transduction efficiency both for in vitro and in vivo conditions, some additives referred to as ''enhancers" are currently being employed [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-4-0). These additives have been selected from a wide spectrum of materials including chemical polymers, biological peptides, lipids, small molecules, and synthetic nanoparticles $[67]$. Mechanistically, these additives can act through the inhibition of histone deacetylases, induction of transgene expression, and improving transfection/transduction through impacting the cell uptake of genetic materials [\(Table 1\)](#page-5-0). The significance of the chemical and biological enhancers in improving the

Fig. 2. By employment of particularly various chemical agents, transfection and viral transduction efficiency can be improved for gene therapy. Those materials act through different mechanisms like protection against lysosomal degradation by PEI, inhibition of kinases by caffeine, and inducing active gene expression, while polycationic agents can facilitate viral transduction by removing charge repulsions.

transfection and/or transduction with applications in retinal gene therapies is the scope of the current review.

4.1. Polyethylene imine as the gold standard agent of transfection

Also referred to as cationic polymeric carriers, polyethyleneimine (PEI) has been considered the gold standard conduit of gene transfer for decades due to its efficacy proven in various studies [\[68\]](#page-10-0). It is a cheap, cost-effective, and easily available agent that has been universally used for the transient transfection of various eukaryotic cells and the production of recombinant proteins and viral packaging [\[69,70,71\].](#page-10-0) PEI acts through making complexes with DNA and helps endosome-mediated release via the proton sponge effect providing protection from lysosomal degradation and facilitates intracellular transportation of DNA [\[72\].](#page-10-0) The cargo is delivered to the cytoplasm without entering lysosomes [\[73\].](#page-10-0) PEI is produced in various molecular sizes and two forms, linear and branched. Each form offers its own advantages, such as improvement of molecular absorption of DNA for the branched form and higher releasing/dissecting potential for the linear form [\[74\]](#page-10-0). Although using low molecular weight PEI (LMW-PEI) is routinely helpful, PEI at high molecular weights faces a main drawback of cytotoxicity hampering cell growth [\[75,76\].](#page-10-0) To resolve this problem, copolymerization is recommended [\[67,77\]](#page-10-0). Owing to its features, PEI is commonly used as an efficient agent to facilitate eukaryotic cell transfection in vitro and in vivo and also viral packaging [\[78,79,80\]](#page-10-0).

PEI-mediated gene delivery has also been tested for ocular gene therapies in vitro. Transfection of ocular cells, such as post-mitotic retinal neurons and RPE cells, using PEI has achieved satisfactory

results with good efficacy and tolerance [\[81,82,83\].](#page-10-0) Other transfection reagents like Lipofectamine and liposomal compounds have also been used to assist in the process.

The employment of some products in combination with transfection agents has helped improve the transfection of target or host cells for viral packaging, promoting viral transduction efficacy, and increasing transgene expression ([Table 1\)](#page-5-0). Notably, the use of enhancers along with PEI is tested for enhancing viral production.

4.2. Enhancers of transfection efficiency

4.2.1. Caffeine

Caffeine is an additive that has shown promising potential in viral vector production. It is believed that caffeine acts through inhibition of several kinases, including DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit (DNA-PKcs), ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM), ataxia telangiectasia, and Rad3-related protein (ATR) playing a role in DNA repair. Ellis et al. [\[66\]](#page-10-0) introduced a simple and cost-effective approach for high-titer production of LVs using caffeine. They added 2–4 mM caffeine 17 to 41 h post-transfection during the standard process of LV production and found a 3- to 8-fold increase in lentiviral titers $[66]$. In comparison, the use of sodium butyrate (see section 4.2.4) exhibited half of the impact seen for caffeine on LV production. Although NU7026 as a DNA-PKcs inhibitor, demonstrated enhancement of viral titers, combined use with caffeine did not show an efficacy comparable to utilizing caffeine alone [\[66\]](#page-10-0). The positive impact of caffeine on LV titers is confirmed in another study [\[84\].](#page-10-0) In the latter experiment, adding caffeine at a concentration of 40 mg per 100 ml media one-day post-transfection greatly improved the viral titer.

Table 1

34

Types of gene transfer enhancers and their mechanism of action.

4.2.2. Histone deacetylase inhibitors

Several additives are known to act through inhibition of histone deacetylases (HDACs). Hyperacetylation of histones can unwind nucleosomes and allow active expression by providing access to the transcription machinery [\[85\].](#page-10-0) HDAC inhibitors (HDIs), thus, may enhance transgene expression resulting in improved yield of viral gene therapies. The main HDIs with the potential in enhancing transgene expression of viral vectors include valproic acid and sodium butyrate. Several other HDIs like CHAP31 and FR901228 (FK228) are also described with the same function for Ad and AAV vectors [\[86,87\]](#page-10-0). MicroRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) are endogenous, small non-protein coding molecules with an average length of 22 $[19,21,22,23,24]$ nucleotides $[88]$. They are involved in various biological functions acting through posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression [\[89,90,91\]](#page-10-0). Among miRNAs, a study reports the role of miR-2861 in the inhibition of HDAC5 and improvement of recombinant protein expression in the Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells [\[92\]](#page-10-0). This study suggests a novel miRNA as an HDAC inhibitor with the potential for the improvement of transgene expression.

4.2.3. Valproic acid

Valproic acid is a known HDI agent that is frequently employed as an effective enhancer of transgene expression. Studies in LV vectors demonstrated enhanced transgene expression when treated with valproic acid at 1 mM concentration, while no cytotoxicity was reported [\[93\]](#page-10-0). Cervera et al. [\[94\]](#page-10-0) evaluated the impact of combined use of transfection enhancers, such as nocodazole, lithium acetate, valproic acid, and caffeine, on the Gag-based virus-like particle (VLP) production levels in HEK293 cells. Among the eight compounds tested, several showed promising impacts. Nocodazole is a well-known transfection enhancer acting through arresting cells in the G2/M phase of the cell cycle that is already reported to have improved transfection by more than 94% when combined with PEI use [\[95,96,97\].](#page-10-0) It was shown that with a 2 μ g/mL dose of nocodazole in the culture medium, 71% of the treated cells showed G2/M phase arrest following 24 h of treatment. Nocodazole; however, did not show any favorable impact on cell viability. Additionally, the use of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), an organosulfur compound with various biological and pharmaceutical applications, enhanced the cell membrane porosity and improved transient transfection. Notably, VLP production was increased by 3.8-folds when a combination of valproic acid (3.36 mM) and caffeine (5.04 mM) was added to the culture medium 4 h post-PEI-mediated transfection [\[94\].](#page-10-0)

4.2.4. Sodium butyrate

Sodium butyrate (NaBut) is an HDAC inhibitor, whose effect on improving transcription and expression of transgenes is welldocumented in different cell lines [\[85,98,99,100\]](#page-10-0). NaBut is also shown to enhance the production of viral particles in HEK293 cells under PEI-mediated transient transfection. It is believed that NaBut acts through activation of the long terminal repeat (LTR) mediated gene expression of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) [\[101\]](#page-10-0). Since the 1990s, NaBut has proven to help the optimization of LV and retroviral particle production at a concentration of 5 to 20 mM [\[102,103,104\]](#page-10-0). Ansorge et al. [\[105\]](#page-10-0) added NaBut 16 h after transfection at a concentration range of 0.1– 5.0 mM to the production process and analyzed its effect on the LV production yield along with several other factors, such as increasing cell density and optimization of media and transfection conditions. Incredibly, they found maximum LV titers of 10⁸ transducing units (tu)/ml 2 d post-transfection showing a 15 fold increase at 5 mM of NaBut and a 150-fold enhancement of

receptors; TLR9: Toll-like receptor; mMSC: mouse mesenchymal stem cells.

LV titers relative to non-optimized conditions [\[105\].](#page-10-0) Adding NaBut at a concentration of 10 mM in combination with trichostatin, an antifungal antibiotic acting through selective inhibition of HDAC class I and II, to the cell medium treated with 25 kDa linear PEI was shown to enhance transgene expression of integration-defective LV vectors in both dividing and nondividing cells [\[106\]](#page-10-0). However, it may negatively affect cell viability at >5 mM concentrations [\[105\].](#page-10-0)

4.3. Transduction enhancers

The transduction efficiency of viral packaging systems determines the success of gene therapies. Currently, it is still unsatisfactory that necessitates optimization for various applications [\[3\].](#page-8-0) Endogenous transduction inhibitors in addition to basic biophysical constraints have some factors that may limit the transduction efficiency of viral vectors [\[107\].](#page-10-0) A variety of polycationic polymers, such as polybrene and protamine sulfate, are currently employed for improving the efficiency of transduction [\[108\].](#page-11-0)

Polybrene (hexadimethrine bromide) is a cationic polymer that is frequently reported to enhance the transduction of retroviruses and LVs in various types of target cells, such as human and mouse somatic and stem cells [\[109,110,111\]](#page-11-0). Mechanistically, polybrene acts by making bridges between the viral particles and the cell membrane of the target cell, removing the charge repulsions to facilitate viral absorption [\[112\]](#page-11-0). Polybrene is routinely used in a concentration of $\leq 10 \text{ kg/mL}$ resulting in the best performance on transduction [\[107,113\].](#page-10-0) Evidence shows the safety of polybrene without cytotoxicity at high concentrations by up to 40 μ g/mL [\[113\].](#page-11-0) However, some studies show that polybrene in commonly used concentrations (1–8 μ g/mL) is cytotoxic to some cell types like human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) [\[114\]](#page-11-0), and cochlear hair cells [\[115\].](#page-11-0)

5. Enhancers for retinal gene therapies

Limited transduction is a major challenge for retinal gene therapies by the conventional approach of intravitreal viral vector delivery. The special retinal structure composed of an inner limiting membrane (ILM) and proteoglycans of the extracellular matrix (ECM) is considered a barrier obstructing the transfer of viral vectors across the retina [\[116\]](#page-11-0).

Among the additives discussed above, polycationic polymers particularly polybrene have been proven to improve the transduction yield of retinal gene delivery methods (Fig. 3).

Polybrene (mainly at 8 μ g/ml) has been successfully used for enhancing the transduction efficiency of human and mouse retinal cells since the 1990s [\[117,118\]](#page-11-0). Hashimoto et al. [\[119\]](#page-11-0) evaluated the efficacy of an LV-based gene replacement strategy in the retinas of MYO7A-null mice. Polybrene at a concentration of 6 mg/ml in transduction media was used to help the infection of RPE cells as well as viral delivery in vivo. Results revealed the success of viral transduction by more than 95% [\[119\].](#page-11-0) Alsing et al. [\[120\]](#page-11-0) reported successful transduction of RPE cell line ARPE19 using 8 μ g/ml of polybrene in a vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGFA) targeting gene therapy method by LV vectors. They found no negative impact on the cell viability in the cells treated with polybrene. At an 8 μ g/ml concentration, polybrene was also employed for successful delivery of the CHM gene-containing AAV2/5 vector to the induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived RPE cells that reconstructed the biochemical phenotype of the choroideremia cell model [\[121\]](#page-11-0). Additionally, polybrene in combination with ultrasound has shown an enhancing influence on the retroviral transduction to the human retinal stem cells [\[122\]](#page-11-0). Notably, LV-mediated gene delivery of bcl-xL to the corneal endothelial cells in the presence of polybrene (pre-incubating the graft with 6 μ g/mL) is shown to protect against graft rejection [\[123\].](#page-11-0)

Fig. 3. A schematic illustration of four major enhancers used for the improvement of viral transduction efficiency in the retinal cells.

Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) is a synthetic anti-malarial drug that received its approval for clinical use in 1955 [\[124\].](#page-11-0) HCQ, which has been used for the prevention and treatment of malaria, has also shown numerous therapeutic influences, such as anti-rheumatic properties [\[125\].](#page-11-0) It has been shown to inhibit the Toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9), an anti-viral pattern recognition receptor, and improve the efficacy of AAV-mediated gene therapy efficacy $[126]$. The impact of HCQ on the viral transduction and its potential in retinal gene therapy is a study's subject by Chandler et al. [\[126\].](#page-11-0) They treated RPE cells and human retinal explants with HCQ 1 h before transduction with a green fluorescence protein (GFP)-encoding AAV2 vector. Subretinal injections of AAV2 vector combined with 18.75 μ M HCQ demonstrated a 4.6-fold increase in transduction in vivo without retinal toxicity. The authors concluded that a single pulse of adjunctive HCQ may help improve AAV transduction efficiency and thus, can suggest an effective and safe strategy for the enhancement of clinical outcomes in gene therapies [\[126\].](#page-11-0)

Doxorubicin is an anthracycline antibiotic recognized as an effective anticancer drug and approved for the treatment of various human cancers [\[127,128\]](#page-11-0). Although the responsible mechanism is not elucidated, doxorubicin has been used for improving the transduction ability of viral vectors, particularly AAVs in several types of cells including lung and airway epithelia [\[129,130\],](#page-11-0) rat neuronal cells [\[131\],](#page-11-0) and neuronal cells [\[132\]](#page-11-0). In the context of the retina, the impact of doxorubicin was also evaluated on the transduction efficiency of AAV vectors in mouse retinal bipolar cells [\[133\].](#page-11-0) Their results demonstrated a dose-dependent increase of transduction; however, doxorubicin demonstrated cytotoxicity. To solve the problem, the researchers designed a co-application of doxorubicin (300 μ M) with dexrazoxane that revealed 33.8% enhanced viral transduction with no cytotoxicity. Accordingly, the co-application of doxorubicin and dexrazoxane was suggested as an adjuvant treatment for enhancing the transduction of AAV vectors in retinal cells [\[133\]](#page-11-0).

Glycosidic enzymes can help spread the injected viral vectors by degrading the glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) and collagen across the retina [\[116\]](#page-11-0). Co-injection of GFP-encoding AAV vectors and several glycosidic enzymes, including heparinase III or chondroitin ABC lyase, into the mouse vitreous significantly improved the transduction rate of the retinal ganglion cell layer and enhanced the depth of transduction into the outer retina. Administration of AAV vectors and heparinase III or chondroitin ABC lyase was suggested as a preferred injection route for gene delivery to both the inner and outer retina [\[116\]](#page-11-0). The efficacy and safety of those enzymes for intravitreal injection are confirmed in another study by the same group of researchers. They found enhanced transduction of AAV2 and intact retinal morphology and functions at least 12 months following administration of glycosidic enzymes in the treated mice [\[134\]](#page-11-0).

6. Concluding remarks

Gene therapy has emerged as a promising therapeutic approach for a wide variety of human genetic disorders. Through various strategies, gene therapy can help restoration of essential functions affected by mutations. Owing to advances in methodologies and approaches, gene therapies have yielded several products for clinical use. In IRDs, gene therapies are the subject of various experiments and clinical trials. During the previous decades, exceptional hopes have been developed for treating IRDs. Viral vectors have been more conventionally employed for preclinical and clinical gene therapies compared to non-viral vectors. Although promising reports are documented for viral vectors, to bring results to clinics researchers should find solutions for overcoming barriers. Unsatisfactory efficacy of transduction is among the major bottlenecks of gene therapies that need proper addressing. As a solution, researchers have used some chemical agents or pharmacologic compounds to enhance the transfection and transduction efficiency. These particularly include polycationic agents like polyethylene imine and protamine sulfate, and inhibitors of histone deacetylases. Studies in retinal gene therapies have demonstrated the positive impact of several enhancers like polybrene, doxorubicin, hydroxychloroquine, and glycosidic enzymes on the yield of gene therapy. Although promising findings suggest the potential application of those agents for gene therapy goals, in vivo application at effective doses remains questionable and requires further studies to ensure the lack of toxicity.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Sajad Najafi: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. Azam Rahimpour: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. Hamid Ahmadieh: Writing – review & editing, Supervision. Maryam Maleki Tehrani: Writing – original draft. Mohammad Amin Khalilzad: Methodology. Fatemeh Suri: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. Javad Ranjbari: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization.

Financial support

This study did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Supplementary material

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2024.07.005>.

Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

References

- [1] Mendell JR, Al-Zaidy SA, Rodino-Klapac LR, et al. Current clinical applications of in vivo gene therapy with AAVs. Mol Ther 2021;29(2):464–88. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2020.12.007) [org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2020.12.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2020.12.007). PMid: 33309881.
- [2] Anguela XM, High KA. Entering the Modern Era of gene therapy. Annu Rev
Med 2019:70:273-88. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-med-012017-Med 2019:70:273–88. https://doi.org/10.1146 [043332.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-med-012017-043332) PMid: 30477394.
- [3] Bulcha JT, Wang Y, Ma H, et al. Viral vector platforms within the gene therapy landscape. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2021;6:53. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00487-6) [s41392-021-00487-6.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00487-6) PMid: 33558455.
- [4] Dunbar CE, High KA, Joung JK, et al. Gene therapy comes of age. Science 2018;359(6372): https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan4672. PMid: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan4672>. 29326244eaan4672.
- [5] Moini J, Badolato C, Ahangari R. Gene Therapy. In: Moini J, Badolato C, Ahangari R, editors. Epidemiology of Endocrine Tumors. Elsevier; 2020. p. 505–16. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822187-7.00007-4.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822187-7.00007-4)
- [6] Liu F, Huang L. Development of non-viral vectors for systemic gene delivery. J Control Release 2002;78(1):259–66. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659\(01\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659(01)00494-1) [00494-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659(01)00494-1). PMid: 11772466.
- [7] Maeder ML, Gersbach CA. Genome-editing technologies for gene and cell therapy. Mol Ther 2016;24(3):430–46. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2016.10>. PMid: 26755333.
- [8] Zhang S, Xu Y, Wang B, et al. Cationic compounds used in lipoplexes and polyplexes for gene delivery. J Control Release 2004;100(2):165-80. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2004.08.019) [doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2004.08.019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2004.08.019) PMid: 15544865.
- [9] Cross D, Burmester JK. Gene therapy for cancer treatment: Past, present and future. Clin Med Res 2006;4(3):218–27. <https://doi.org/10.3121/cmr.4.3.218>. PMid: 16988102.
- [10] [Yu M, Poeschla E, Wong-Staal F. Progress towards gene therapy for HIV](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0717-3458(24)00026-5/h0050) [infection. Gene Ther 1994;1\(1\):13–26. PMid: 7584055](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0717-3458(24)00026-5/h0050).
- [11] Najafi S, Tan SC, Aghamiri S, et al. Therapeutic potentials of CRISPR-Cas genome editing technology in human viral infections. Biomed Pharmacother 2022;148: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2022.112743> PMid: 35228065112743.
- [12] Ferreira GN, Monteiro GA, Prazeres DM, et al. Downstream processing of plasmid DNA for gene therapy and DNA vaccine applications. Trends
Biotechnol 2000;18(9):380-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7799(00) Biotechnol 2000;18(9):380–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-[01475-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7799(00)01475-X). PMid: 10942962.
- [13] Knipe JM, Peters JT, Peppas NA. Theranostic agents for intracellular gene delivery with spatiotemporal imaging. Nano Today 2013;8(1):21-38. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nantod.2012.12.004) doi.org/10.1016/j.nantod.2012.12.004. PMid: 23606894.
- [14] Anderson WF. Human gene therapy. Science 1992;256(5058):808–13. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.256.5058.808.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.256.5058.808) PMid: 1589762.
- [15] Terheggen H, Lowenthal A, Lavinha F, et al. Unsuccessful trial of gene replacement in arginase deficiency. Eur J Pediatr 1975;119:1-3. https: [org/10.1007/BF00464689.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00464689) PMid: 164740.
- [16] Najafi S, Mortezaee K. Modifying CAR-T cells with anti-checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy: A focus on anti PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies. Life Sci 2024;338:. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2023.122387.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2023.122387) PMid: 38154609122387.
- [Misra S. Human gene therapy: A brief overview of the genetic revolution. J](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0717-3458(24)00026-5/h0085) [Assoc Physicians India 2013;61\(2\):127–33. PMid: 24471251.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0717-3458(24)00026-5/h0085)
- [18] [Ginter E. Gene therapy of hereditary diseases. Vopr Med Khim 2000;46](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0717-3458(24)00026-5/h0090) [\(3\):265–78 \[Article in Russian\] PMid: 11033886](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0717-3458(24)00026-5/h0090).
- [19] Zhao Z, Anselmo AC, Mitragotri S. Viral vector-based gene therapies in the clinic. Bioeng Transl Med 2022;7(1):e10258. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/btm2.10258) [btm2.10258](https://doi.org/10.1002/btm2.10258). PMid: 35079633.
- [20] Chancellor D, Barrett D, Nguyen-Jatkoe L, et al. The state of cell and gene therapy in 2023. Mol Ther 2023;31(12):3376–88. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2023.11.001) [ymthe.2023.11.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2023.11.001) PMid: 37927037.
- [21] Ibraheem D, Elaissari A, Fessi H. Gene therapy and DNA delivery systems. Int J Pharm 2014;459(1):70–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013.11.041>. PMid: 24286924.
- [22] Nam HY, Park JH, Kim K, et al. Lipid-based emulsion system as non-viral gene carriers. Arch Pharm Res 2009;32(5):639–46. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12272-009-1500-y) [s12272-009-1500-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12272-009-1500-y). PMid: 19471876.
- [23] Nagasaki T, Shinkai S. The concept of molecular machinery is useful for design of stimuli-responsive gene delivery systems in the mammalian cell. J Incl Phenom Macrocycl Chem 2007;58(3):205–19. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10847-007-9303-6) [s10847-007-9303-6.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10847-007-9303-6)
- [24] Ropert C. Liposomes as a gene delivery system. Braz J Med Biol Res 1999;32 (2):163–9. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-879X1999000200004.](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-879X1999000200004) PMid: 10347751.
- [25] Nayerossadat N, Maedeh T, Ali PA. Viral and nonviral delivery systems for gene delivery. Adv Biomed Res 2012;1(2):27. https://doi.org/10. [9175.98152](https://doi.org/10.4103/2277-9175.98152). PMid: 23210086.
- [26] Somia N, Verma IM. Gene therapy: Trials and tribulations. Nat Rev Genet 2000;1(2):91–9. [https://doi.org/10.1038/35038533.](https://doi.org/10.1038/35038533) PMid: 11253666.
- [27] Gonçalves GAR, Paiva RMA. Gene therapy: Advances, challenges and perspectives. Einstein (Sao Paulo) 2017;15(3):369-75. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1590/s1679-45082017rb4024) [10.1590/s1679-45082017rb4024.](https://doi.org/10.1590/s1679-45082017rb4024) PMid: 29091160.
- [28] Li X, Le Y, Zhang Z, et al. Viral vector-based gene therapy. Int J Mol Sci 2023;24 (9):7736. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24097736>. PMid: 37175441.
- [29] Najafi S, Majidpoor J, Mortezaee K. The impact of oncolytic adenoviral therapy on the therapeutic efficacy of PD-1/PD-L1 blockade. Biomed Pharmacother 2023;161:. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2023.114436>. PMid: 36841031114436.
- [30] Thomas CE, Ehrhardt A, Kay MA. Progress and problems with the use of viral vectors for gene therapy. Nat Rev Genet 2003;4(5):346-58. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1066) [10.1038/nrg1066](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1066). PMid: 12728277.
- [31] Bouard D, Alazard-Dany D, Cosset FL. Viral vectors: From virology to transgene expression. Br J Pharmacol 2009;157(2):153–65. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjp.2008.349) [10.1038/bjp.2008.349](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjp.2008.349). PMid: 18776913.
- [32] Goswami R, Subramanian G, Silayeva L, et al. Gene therapy leaves a vicious cycle. Front Oncol 2019;9:297. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00297>. PMid: 31069169.
- [33] Waehler R, Russell SJ, Curiel DT. Engineering targeted viral vectors for gene therapy. Nat Rev Genet 2007;8(8):573–87. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2141>. PMid: 17607305.
- [34] [Boulaiz H, Marchal JA, Prados J, et al. Non-viral and viral vectors for gene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0717-3458(24)00026-5/h0170) [therapy. Cell Mol Biol \(Noisy-le-Grand, France\) 2005;51:3–22](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0717-3458(24)00026-5/h0170).
- [35] Ghosh S, Brown AM, Jenkins C, et al. Viral vector systems for gene therapy: A comprehensive literature review of progress and biosafety challenges. Appl Biosaf 2020;25(1):7–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1535676019899502>. PMid: 36033383.
- [36] Park S-Y, Kim K-H, Kim S, et al. BMP-2 gene delivery-based bone regeneration in dentistry. Pharmaceutics 2019;11(8):393. https://do [pharmaceutics11080393](https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics11080393). PMid: 31387267.
- [37] Nayak S, Herzog RW. Progress and prospects: Immune responses to viral vectors. Gene Ther 2010;17(3):295-304. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/gt.2009.148) [gt.2009.148](https://doi.org/10.1038/gt.2009.148). PMid: 19907498.
- [38] Davé UP, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG. Gene therapy insertional mutagenesis insights. Science 2004;303(5656):333. https://doi.org/10.1126/so [1091667](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1091667). PMid: 14726584.
- [39] Kofron MD, Laurencin CT. Bone tissue engineering by gene delivery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2006;58(4):555–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2006.03.008>. PMid: 16790291.
- [40] Ferber D. Gene therapy. Safer and virus-free? Science 2001;294 (5547):1638–42. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.294.5547.1638.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.294.5547.1638) PMid: 11721029.
- [41] Lundstrom K. Viral vectors in gene therapy. Diseases 2018;6(2):42. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/diseases6020042) [doi.org/10.3390/diseases6020042.](https://doi.org/10.3390/diseases6020042) PMid: 29883422.
- [42] Georgiou M, Fujinami K, Michaelides M. Inherited retinal diseases: Therapeutics, clinical trials and end points-A review. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol 2021;49(3):270-88. https://doi.org/10.1111/ceo.13917. PMid: Ophthalmol 2021;49(3):270-88. https:/ 33686777.
- [43] Sahel JA, Marazova K, Audo I. Clinical characteristics and current therapies for inherited retinal degenerations. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2014;5(2): https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a017111. PMid: <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a017111>. 25324231a017111.
- [44] Nuzbrokh Y, Ragi SD, Tsang SH. Gene therapy for inherited retinal diseases. Ann Transl Med 2021;9(15):1278. <https://doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-4726>. PMid: 34532415.
- [45] Thompson DA, Iannaccone A, Ali RR, et al. Advancing clinical trials for inherited retinal diseases: Recommendations from the second monaciano symposium. Transl Vis Sci Technol 2020;9(7):2. [https://doi.org/10.1167/](https://doi.org/10.1167/tvst.9.7.2) vst.9.7.2. PMid: 32832209.
- [46] McClements ME, MacLaren RE. Gene therapy for retinal disease. Transl Res $2013:161(4):241-54$. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.trsl.2012.12.007. PMid: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2012.12.007>. 23305707.
- [47] Yiu G, Chung SH, Mollhoff IN, et al. Suprachoroidal and subretinal injections of AAV using transscleral microneedles for retinal gene delivery in nonhuman primates. Mol Therapy Methods Clin Dev 2020;16:179-91. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2020.01.002) [10.1016/j.omtm.2020.01.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2020.01.002) PMid: 32055646.
- [48] Ziccardi L, Cordeddu V, Gaddini L, et al. Gene therapy in retinal dystrophies. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20(22):5722. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20225722.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20225722) PMid: 31739639.
- [49] del Amo EM, Rimpelä A-K, Heikkinen E, et al. Pharmacokinetic aspects of retinal drug delivery. Prog Retin Eye Res 2017;57:134–85. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.preteyeres.2016.12.001) [10.1016/j.preteyeres.2016.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.preteyeres.2016.12.001). PMid: 28028001.
- [50] Pitkänen L, Ruponen M, Nieminen J, et al. Vitreous is a barrier in nonviral gene transfer by cationic lipids and polymers. Pharm Res 2003;20(4):576–83. [https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023238530504.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023238530504) PMid: 12739764.
- [51] Peeters L, Sanders NN, Braeckmans K, et al. Vitreous: A barrier to nonviral ocular gene therapy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005;46(10):3553–61. <https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.05-0165>. PMid: 16186333.
- [52] Ail D, Malki H, Zin EA, et al. Adeno-Associated Virus (AAV) Based gene therapies for retinal diseases: Where are we? Appl Clin Genet 2023;16:111–30. [https://doi.org/10.2147/TACG.S383453.](https://doi.org/10.2147/TACG.S383453) PMid: 37274131.
- [53] Ali RR, Reichel MB, Thrasher AJ, et al. Gene transfer into the mouse retina mediated by an adeno-associated viral vector. Hum Mol Genet 1996;5 (5):591–4. [https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/5.5.591.](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/5.5.591) PMid: 8733124.
- [54] Ong T, Pennesi ME, Birch DG, et al. Adeno-associated viral gene therapy for inherited retinal disease. Pharm Res 2019;36:34. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-018-2564-5) [s11095-018-2564-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-018-2564-5) PMid: 30617669.
- [55] Nieuwenhuis B, Laperrousaz E, Tribble JR, et al. Improving Adeno-Associated Viral (AAV) vector-mediated transgene expression in retinal ganglion cells: Comparison of five promoters. Gene Ther 2023;30(6):503-19. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41434-022-00380-z) [10.1038/s41434-022-00380-z.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41434-022-00380-z) PMid: 36635457.
- [56] Chiu W, Lin T-Y, Chang Y-C, et al. An update on gene therapy for inherited retinal dystrophy: Experience in Leber Congenital Amaurosis clinical trials. Int J Mol Sci 2021;22(9):4534. [https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22094534.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22094534) PMid: 33926102.
- [57] Amato A, Arrigo A, Aragona E, et al. Gene therapy in inherited retinal diseases: An update on current state of the art. Front Med 2021;8:. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2021.750586) [10.3389/fmed.2021.750586.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2021.750586) PMid: 34722588750586.
- [58] Shamshad A, Kang C, Jenny LA, et al. Translatability barriers between preclinical and clinical trials of AAV gene therapy in inherited retinal
diseases. Vision Res 2023;210:. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2023.108258) [visres.2023.108258.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2023.108258) PMid: 37244011108258.
- [59] Hasler PW, Brandi Bloch S, Villumsen J, et al. Safety study of 38503 intravitreal ranibizumab injections performed mainly by physicians in training and nurses in a hospital setting. Acta Ophthalmol 2015;93 (2):122–5. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aos.12589>. PMid: 25403735.
- [60] Rolling F. Recombinant AAV-mediated gene transfer to the retina: Gene therapy perspectives. Gene Ther 2004;11(1):S26–32. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gt.3302366) j.gt.3302366. PMid: 15454954.
- [61] Darrow JJ. Luxturna: FDA documents reveal the value of a costly gene therapy. Drug Discov Today 2019;24(4):949–54. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2019.01.019) [j.drudis.2019.01.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2019.01.019). PMid: 30711576.
- [62] Russell S, Bennett J, Wellman JA, et al. Efficacy and safety of voretigene neparvovec (AAV2-hRPE65v2) in patients with RPE65-mediated inherited retinal dystrophy: A randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2017;390(10097):849–60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)31868-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31868-8). PMid: 28712537.
- [63] Keeler AM, Flotte TR. Recombinant Adeno-Associated Virus Gene Therapy in Light of Luxturna (and Zolgensma and Glybera): Where are we, and how did we get here? Annual Review of Virology 2019;6:601-21. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015530) [10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015530](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015530). PMid: 31283441.
- [64] Ferrari S, Geddes DM, Alton EW. Barriers to and new approaches for gene therapy and gene delivery in cystic fibrosis. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2002;54 (11):1373-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(02)00145-X. PMid: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X\(02\)00145-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-409X(02)00145-X). PMid: 12458150.
- [65] Chira S, Jackson CS, Oprea I, et al. Progresses towards safe and efficient gene therapy vectors. Oncotarget 2015;6(31):30675–703. <u>[https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.5169)</u>
<u>[10.18632/oncotarget.5169](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.5169)</u>.PMid:26362400.
- [66] Ellis BL, Potts PR, Porteus MH. Creating higher titer lentivirus with caffeine. Hum Gene Ther 2011;22(1):93–100. <https://doi.org/10.1089/hum.2010.068>. PMid: 20626321.
- [67] Kaygisiz K, Synatschke CV. Materials promoting viral gene delivery. Biomater Sci 2020;8(22):6113–56. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0BM01367F>. PMid: 33025967.
- [68] Patnaik S, Gupta KC. Novel polyethylenimine-derived nanoparticles for in vivo gene delivery. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 2013;10(2):215-28. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1517/17425247.2013.744964) [10.1517/17425247.2013.744964.](https://doi.org/10.1517/17425247.2013.744964) PMid: 23252504.
- [69] Pham PL, Kamen A, Durocher Y. Large-scale transfection of mammalian cells for the fast production of recombinant protein. Mol Biotechnol 2006;34 (2):225–37. [https://doi.org/10.1385/MB:34:2:225.](https://doi.org/10.1385/MB:34:2:225) PMid: 17172668.
- [70] Reed SE, Staley EM, Mayginnes JP, et al. Transfection of mammalian cells using linear polyethylenimine is a simple and effective means of producing recombinant adeno-associated virus vectors. J Virol Methods 2006;138(1–2):85–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2006.07.024>. PMid: 16950522.
- [71] Segura MM, Garnier A, Durocher Y, et al. Production of lentiviral vectors by large-scale transient transfection of suspension cultures and affinity chromatography purification. Biotechnol Bioeng 2007;98(4):789–99. [https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.21467.](https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.21467) PMid: 17461423.
- [72] Saifullah S, Ali I, Kawish M, et al. Surface functionalized magnetic nanoparticles for targeted cancer therapy and diagnosis. In: Shah MR, Imran M, Ullah S, editors. Metal Nanoparticles for Drug Delivery and Diagnostic Applications. Elsevier; 2020. p. 215–36. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816960-5.00012-4) [B978-0-12-816960-5.00012-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816960-5.00012-4).
- [73] Akinc A, Thomas M, Klibanov AM, et al. Exploring polyethyleniminemediated DNA transfection and the proton sponge hypothesis. J Gene Med 2005;7(5):657–63. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.696>. PMid: 15543529.
- [74] Liu S, Huang W, Jin M-J, et al. Inhibition of murine breast cancer growth and metastasis by survivin-targeted siRNA using disulfide cross-linked linear PEI. Eur J Pharm Sci 2016;82:171–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2015.11.009>. PMid: 26554721.
- [75] Kunath K, von Harpe A, Fischer D, et al. Low-molecular-weight polyethylenimine as a non-viral vector for DNA delivery: Comparison of physicochemical properties, transfection efficiency and in vivo distribution with high-molecular-weight polyethylenimine. J Control Release 2003;
89(1):113–25. <u>[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659\(03\)00076-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659(03)00076-2)</u> PMid: 12695067.
- [76] Brunot C, Ponsonnet L, Lagneau C, et al. Cytotoxicity of polyethyleneimine (PEI), precursor base layer of polyelectrolyte multilayer films. Biomaterials (2007:28(4):632-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.biomaterials.2006.09.026 2007;28(4):632–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2006.09.026>. PMid: 17049374.
- [77] Gomes dos Santos AL, Bochot A, Tsapis N, et al. Oligonucleotidepolyethylenimine complexes targeting retinal cells: Structural analysis and application to anti-TGF_B-2 therapy. Pharm Res 2006;23:770–81. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-006-9748-0) [org/10.1007/s11095-006-9748-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-006-9748-0). PMid: 16572352.
- [78] Vancha AR, Govindaraju S, Parsa KVL, et al. Use of polyethyleneimine polymer in cell culture as attachment factor and lipofection enhancer. BMC Biotech 2004;4(1):23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6750-4-23>. PMid: 15485583.
- [79] Boussif O, Lezoualc'h F, Zanta MA, et al. A versatile vector for gene and oligonucleotide transfer into cells in culture and in vivo: Polyethylenimine. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1995;92(16):7297–301. [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.16.7297) [pnas.92.16.7297.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.16.7297) PMid: 7638184.
- [80] Tang Y, Garson K, Li L, Vanderhyden BC. Optimization of lentiviral vector production using polyethylenimine-mediated transfection. Oncol Lett 2015;9 (1):55–62. [https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2014.2684.](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2014.2684) PMid: 25435933.
- [81] Rodier JT, Tripathi R, Fink MK, et al. Linear polyethylenimine-DNA nanoconstruct for corneal gene delivery. J Ocular Pharmacol Therapeutics: The Official J Assoc Ocular Pharmacol Therapeutics 2019;35(1):23–31. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jop.2018.0024>. PMid: 30699061.
- [82] Horbinski C, Stachowiak MK, Higgins D, et al. Polyethyleneimine-mediated transfection of cultured postmitotic neurons from rat sympathetic ganglia and adult human retina. BMC Neurosci 2001;2:2. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-2-2) [1471-2202-2-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-2-2). PMid: 11231879.
- [83] Peng C-H, Cherng J-Y, Chiou G-Y, et al. Delivery of Oct4 and SirT1 with cationic polyurethanes-short branch PEI to aged retinal pigment epithelium. Biomaterials 2011;32(34):9077-88. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.08.008) [biomaterials.2011.08.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.08.008) PMid: 21890195.
- [84] Fricano-Kugler CJ, Williams MR, Salinaro JR, et al. Designing, packaging, and delivery of high titer CRISPR retro and lentiviruses via stereotaxic injection. JoVE 2016;111:. [https://doi.org/10.3791/53783.](https://doi.org/10.3791/53783) PMid: 27285851e53783.
- [85] Rodrigues Goulart H, Arthuso Fdos S, Capone MV, et al. Enhancement of human prolactin synthesis by sodium butyrate addition to serum-free CHO

cell culture. J Biomed Biotechnol 2010;2010(1):. [https://doi.org/10.1155/](https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/405872) [2010/405872.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/405872) PMid: 20625486405872.

- [86] Taura K, Yamamoto Y, Nakajima A, et al. Impact of novel histone deacetylase inhibitors, CHAP31 and FR901228 (FK228), on adenovirus-mediated transgene expression. J Gene Med 2004;6(5):526-36. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.546) [10.1002/jgm.546.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.546) PMid: 15133763.
- [87] Okada T, Uchibori R, Iwata-Okada M, et al. A histone deacetylase inhibitor enhances recombinant adeno-associated virus-mediated gene expression in tumor cells. Mol Ther 2006;13(4):738–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2005.11.010) [ymthe.2005.11.010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2005.11.010) PMid: 16387551.
- [88] O'Brien J, Hayder H, Zayed Y, et al. Overview of MicroRNA biogenesis, mechanisms of actions, and circulation. Front Endocrinol 2018;9:402. https: [doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2018.00402.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2018.00402) PMid: 30123182.
- [89] Rezaee D, Saadatpour F, Akbari N, et al. The role of microRNAs in the pathophysiology of human central nervous system: A focus on neurodegenerative diseases. Ageing Res Rev 2023;92:. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2023.102090) [10.1016/j.arr.2023.102090](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2023.102090). PMid: 37832609102090.
- [90] Catalanotto C, Cogoni C, Zardo G. MicroRNA in control of gene expression: An overview of nuclear functions. Int J Mol Sci 2016;17(10):1712. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17101712) [org/10.3390/ijms17101712.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17101712) PMid: 27754357.
- [91] Fattahi M, Rezaee D, Fakhari F, et al. microRNA-184 in the landscape of human malignancies: A review to roles and clinical significance. Cell Death Discov 2023;9(1):423. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-023-01718-1.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-023-01718-1) PMid: 38001121.
- [92] Fischer S, Paul AJ, Wagner A, et al. miR-2861 as novel HDAC5 inhibitor in CHO cells enhances productivity while maintaining product quality. Biotechnol Bioeng 2015;112(10):2142-53. [https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.25626.](https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.25626) PMid: 25997799.
- [93] Joglekar AV, Stein L, Ho M, et al. Dissecting the mechanism of histone deacetylase inhibitors to enhance the activity of zinc finger nucleases delivered by integrase-defective lentiviral vectors. Hum Gene Ther 2014;25(7):599–608. [https://doi.org/10.1089/hum.2013.211.](https://doi.org/10.1089/hum.2013.211) PMid: 24568341.
- [94] Cervera L, Fuenmayor J, González-Domínguez I, et al. Selection and optimization of transfection enhancer additives for increased virus-like particle production in HEK293 suspension cell cultures. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2015;99(23):9935-49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-Biotechnol 2015;99(23):9935–49. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6842-4) [6842-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6842-4). PMid: 26278533.
- [95] Cude K, Wang Y, Choi HJ, et al. Regulation of the G2-M cell cycle progression by the ERK5-NFKB signaling pathway. J Cell Biol $2007,177(2)$:253-64. [https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200609166.](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200609166) PMid: 17452529.
- [96] Li D, Li P, Li G, et al. The effect of nocodazole on the transfection efficiency of lipid-bilayer coated gold nanoparticles. Biomaterials 2009;30(7):1382–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.11.037>. PMid: 19091395.
- [97] Tait AS, Brown CJ, Galbraith DJ, et al. Transient production of recombinant proteins by Chinese hamster ovary cells using polyethyleneimine/DNA complexes in combination with microtubule disrupting anti-mitotic agents. Biotechnol Bioeng 2004;88(6):707–21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.20265>. PMid: 15532040.
- [98] Palermo DP, DeGraaf ME, Marotti KR, et al. Production of analytical quantities of recombinant proteins in Chinese hamster ovary cells using sodium butyrate to elevate gene expression. J Biotechnol 1991;19(1):35–47. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1656\(91\)90073-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1656(91)90073-5). PMid: 1369310.
- [99] Mimura Y, Lund J, Church S, et al. Butyrate increases production of human chimeric IgG in CHO-K1 cells whilst maintaining function and glycoform profile. J Immunol Methods 2001;247(1):205–16. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1759(00)00308-2) [S0022-1759\(00\)00308-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1759(00)00308-2) PMid: 11150551.
- [100] Kruh J. Effects of sodium butyrate, a new pharmacological agent, on cells in culture. Mol Cell Biochem 1981;42(2):65–82. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00222695) [BF00222695.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00222695) PMid: 6174854.
- [101] Sakoda T, Kasahara N, Hamamori Y, et al. A high-titer lentiviral production system mediates efficient transduction of differentiated cells including beating cardiac myocytes. J Mol Cell Cardiol 1999;31(11):2037-47. https: [doi.org/10.1006/jmcc.1999.1035.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmcc.1999.1035) PMid: 10591030. [102] Sena-Esteves M, Tebbets JC, Steffens S, et al. Optimized large-scale
- production of high titer lentivirus vector pseudotypes. J Virol Methods 2004;122(2):131–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.08.017.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.08.017) PMid: 15542136.
- [103] Karolewski BA, Watson DJ, Parente MK, et al. Comparison of transfection conditions for a lentivirus vector produced in large volumes. Hum Gene Ther 2003;14(14):1287–96. <https://doi.org/10.1089/104303403322319372>. PMid: 14503964.
- [104] Soneoka Y, Cannon PM, Ramsdale EE, et al. A transient three-plasmid expression system for the production of high titer retroviral vectors. Nucleic Acids Res 1995;23(4):628–33. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/23.4.628.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/23.4.628) PMid: 7899083.
- [105] Ansorge S, Lanthier S, Transfiguracion J, et al. Development of a scalable process for high-yield lentiviral vector production by transient transfection of HEK293 suspension cultures. J Gene Med 2009;11(10):868–76. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.1370) [org/10.1002/jgm.1370](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.1370). PMid: 19618482.
- [106] Pelascini LP, Janssen JM, Gonçalves MA. Histone deacetylase inhibition activates transgene expression from integration-defective lentiviral vectors in dividing and non-dividing cells. Hum Gene Ther 2013;24(1):78–96. <https://doi.org/10.1089/hum.2012.069>. PMid: 23140481.
- [107] Davis HE, Morgan JR, Yarmush ML. Polybrene increases retrovirus gene transfer efficiency by enhancing receptor-independent virus adsorption on

target cell membranes. Biophys Chem 2002;97(2–3):159–72. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4622(02)00057-1) [10.1016/S0301-4622\(02\)00057-1.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-4622(02)00057-1) PMid: 12050007.

- [108] Denning W, Das S, Guo S, et al. Optimization of the transductional efficiency of lentiviral vectors: Effect of sera and polycations. Mol Biotechnol 2013;53 (3):308–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12033-012-9528-5>. PMid: 22407723.
- [109] Jacobsen F, Hirsch T, Mittler D, et al. Polybrene improves transfection efficacy of recombinant replication-deficient adenovirus in cutaneous cells and burned skin. J Gene Med 2006;8(2):138–46. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.843) [jgm.843.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.843) PMid: 16288494.
- [110] Nanba D, Matsushita N, Toki F, et al. Efficient expansion of human keratinocyte stem/progenitor cells carrying a transgene with lentiviral vector. Stem Cell Res Ther 2013;4(5):1-12. https://doi.org/10.1186 PMid: 24406242.
- [111] Kim B-J, Kim K-J, Kim Y-H, et al. Efficient enhancement of lentiviral transduction efficiency in murine spermatogonial stem cells. Mol Cells
2012:33:449-55 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10059-012-2167-7 PMid: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10059-012-2167-7>. PMid: 22526390.
- [112] Jang J, Lee J, Kim S-T, et al. Polycation-mediated enhancement of retroviral transduction efficiency depends on target cell types and pseudotyped Env proteins: Implication for gene transfer into neural stem cells. Neurochem Int 2012;60(8):846–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2012.02.033.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2012.02.033) PMid: 22421532.
- [113] Zhao C, Wu N, Deng F, et al. Adenovirus-mediated gene transfer in mesenchymal stem cells can be significantly enhanced by the cationic polymer polybrene. PLoS One 2014;9(3):e92908. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0092908) **urnal.pone.0092908.** PMid: 24658746.
- [114] Lin P, Correa D, Lin Y, et al. Polybrene inhibits human mesenchymal stem cell proliferation during lentiviral transduction. PLoS One 2011;6(8):e23891. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023891.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023891) PMid: 21887340.
- [115] Han M, Yu D, Song Q, et al. Polybrene: Observations on cochlear hair cell necrosis and minimal lentiviral transduction of cochlear hair cells. Neurosci Lett 2015;600:164–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2015.06.011>. PMid: 26071903.
- [116] [Cehajic-Kapetanovic J, Le Goff MM, et al. Glycosidic enzymes enhance retinal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0717-3458(24)00026-5/h0580) transduction following intravitreal delivery of AAV2. Mol [2011;17:1771–83. PMid: 21750604](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0717-3458(24)00026-5/h0580).
- [117] Miyoshi H, Takahashi M, Gage FH, et al. Stable and efficient gene transfer into the retina using an HIV-based lentiviral vector. Proc Natl Acad Sci 1997;94 (19):10319–23. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.19.10319.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.19.10319) PMid: 9294208.
- [118] Duisit G, Conrath H, Saleun S, et al. Five recombinant simian immunodeficiency virus pseudotypes lead to exclusive transduction of retinal pigmented epithelium in rat. Mol Ther 2002;6(4):446–54. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1006/mthe.2002.0690) doi.org/10.1006/mthe.2002.0690. PMid: 12377185.
- [119] Hashimoto T, Gibbs D, Lillo C, et al. Lentiviral gene replacement therapy of retinas in a mouse model for Usher syndrome type 1B. Gene Ther 2007;14
(7):584–94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/si.gt.3302897</u>. PMid: 17268537.
- [120] Alsing S, Doktor TK, Askou AL, et al. VEGFA-targeting miR-agshRNAs combine efficacy with specificity and safety for retinal gene therapy. Mol Therapy Nucl Acids 2022;28:58–76. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2022.02.019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2022.02.019) PMid: 35356684.
- [121] Cereso N, Pequignot MO, Robert L, et al. Proof of concept for AAV2/5mediated gene therapy in iPSC-derived retinal pigment epithelium of a choroideremia patient. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev 2014;1:14011. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/mtm.2014.11) [doi.org/10.1038/mtm.2014.11.](https://doi.org/10.1038/mtm.2014.11) PMid: 26015956.
- [122] Peng C-H, Woung L-C, Lu K-H, et al. Acoustic waves improves retroviral transduction in human retinal stem cells. J Chin Med Assoc 2018;81
(9):830–6. <u><https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcma.2018.05.002></u>. PMid: 29941298.
- [123] Barcia RN, Dana MR, Kazlauskas A. Corneal graft rejection is accompanied by apoptosis of the endothelium and is prevented by gene therapy with Bcl-xL. Am J Transplant 2007;7(9):2082–9. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2007.01897.x) [6143.2007.01897.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2007.01897.x) PMid: 17614980.
- [124] Browning DJ. Pharmacology of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine. In: Hydroxychloroquine and Chloroquine Retinopathy. New York, NY: Springer; 2014. p. 35–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0597-3_2.
- [125] Lei Z-N, Wu Z-X, Dong S, et al. Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine in the treatment of malaria and repurposing in treating COVID-19. Pharmacol Ther 2020;216: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2020.107672.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2020.107672) PMid: 32910933107672.
- [126] Chandler LC, Barnard AR, Caddy SL, et al. Enhancement of adeno-associated virus-mediated gene therapy using hydroxychloroquine in murine and human tissues. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev 2019;14:77–89. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2019.05.012) [10.1016/j.omtm.2019.05.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2019.05.012). PMid: 31309129.
- [127] Rivankar S. An overview of doxorubicin formulations in cancer therapy. J
Cancer Res Ther 2014;10(4):853–8. https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-Cancer Res Ther 2014;10(4):853–8. [https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-](https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-1482.139267) [1482.139267.](https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-1482.139267) PMid: 25579518.
- [128] Solomon R, Gabizon AA. Clinical pharmacology of liposomal anthracyclines: Focus on pegylated liposomal doxorubicin. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma 2008;8 (1):21–32. [https://doi.org/10.3816/CLM.2008.n.001.](https://doi.org/10.3816/CLM.2008.n.001) PMid: 18501085.
- [129] Yan Z, Zak R, Zhang Y, et al. Distinct classes of proteasome-modulating agents cooperatively augment recombinant adeno-associated virus type 2 and type 5-mediated transduction from the apical surfaces of human airway epithelia.
I Virol 2004:78(6):2863-74. https://doi.org/10.1128/IVL78.6.2863-J Virol 2004;78(6):2863–74. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.78.6.2863-](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.78.6.2863-2874.2004) [2874.2004.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.78.6.2863-2874.2004) PMid: 14990705.
- [130] Griesenbach U, Meng C, Farley R, et al. The role of doxorubicin in non-viral gene transfer in the lung. Biomaterials 2009;30(10):1971-7. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.12.037) [10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.12.037.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.12.037) PMid: 19152975.
- [131] Zhang T, Hu J, Ding W, et al. Doxorubicin augments rAAV-2 transduction in rat neuronal cells. Neurochem Int 2009;55(7):521-8. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2009.05.005) [10.1016/j.neuint.2009.05.005.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2009.05.005) PMid: 19450628.
- [132] Gong H, Yuan N, Shen Z, et al. Transduction catalysis: Doxorubicin amplifies rAAV-mediated gene expression in the cortex of higher-order vertebrates.
iScience 2021;24(6): https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.102685. PMid: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.102685.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.102685) PMid: 34195565102685.
- [133] Cui S, Ganjawala HT, Abrams WG, et al. Effect of proteasome inhibitors on the AAV-mediated transduction efficiency in retinal bipolar cells. Curr Gene Ther 2019:19(6):404-12. https://doi.org/10.2174/1566523220666200211111326. 2019:19(6):404-12. https://doi.org/10.2174/15665 PMid: 32072884.
- [134] Cehajic-Kapetanovic J, Milosavljevic N, Bedford RA, et al. Efficacy and safety of glycosidic enzymes for improved gene delivery to the retina following intravitreal injection in mice. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev 2018;9:192–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2017.12.002>. PMid: 29766027.
- [135] Ho YK, Zhou LH, Tam KC, et al. Enhanced non-viral gene delivery by coordinated endosomal release and inhibition of β -tubulin deactylase. Nucleic Acids Res 2017;45(6):e38. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1143>. PMid: 27899629.
- [136] Ho Y, Too H. Development of a laboratory scalable process for enhancing lentivirus production by transient transfection of HEK293 adherent cultures. Gene Ther 2020;27(10):482–94. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41434-020-0152](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41434-020-0152-x) [x.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41434-020-0152-x) PMid: 32341483.
- [137] Adamson-Small L, Potter M, Byrne BJ, et al. Sodium chloride enhances recombinant adeno-associated virus production in a serum-free suspension manufacturing platform using the herpes simplex virus system. Hum Gene Ther Methods 2017;28(1):1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1089/hgtb.2016.151>. PMid: 28117600.
- [138] Yu C, Trivedi PD, Chaudhuri P, et al. NaCl and KCl mediate log increase in AAV vector particles and infectious titers in a specific/timely manner with the HSV platform. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev 2021;21:1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2021.02.015) .
i.omtm.2021.02.015. PMid: 33768125.
- [139] Pernod G, Fish R, Liu JW, et al. Increasing lentiviral vector titer using inhibitors of protein kinase R. Biotechniques 2004;36(4):576–80. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2144/04364BM02) [org/10.2144/04364BM02.](https://doi.org/10.2144/04364BM02) PMid: 15088373.
- [140] Lee J-Y, Lee H-H. A new chemical complex can rapidly concentrate lentivirus and significantly enhance gene transduction. Cytotechnology 2018;70 (1):193–201. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10616-017-0133-0>. PMid: 28884364.
- [141] Hamada A, Kita Y, Murakami K, et al. Enhancement of transduction efficiency using Adeno-associated viral vectors by chemical pretreatment to mice bladder urothelium. J Virol Methods 2020;279: [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2020.113854) [jviromet.2020.113854.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2020.113854) PMid: 32198026113854.
- [142] Ramesh N, Memarzadeh B, Ge Y, et al. Identification of pretreatment agents to enhance adenovirus infection of bladder epithelium. Mol Ther 2004;10 (4):697–705. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2004.07.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2004.07.002) PMid: 15451454.
- [143] Jaalouk D, Crosato M, Brodt P, et al. Inhibition of histone deacetylation in 293GPG packaging cell line improves the production of self-inactivating MLV-derived retroviral vectors. Virol J 2006;3:27. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-3-27) [1743-422X-3-27](https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-3-27). PMid: 16603064.
- [144] Paszkiet BJ, Zhang J, Matukonis M, et al. Histone deacetylation inhibitors enhance lentiviral vector production and infectivity. Mol Ther 2002;5(5 Supp):S308. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1525-0016(16)43774-
- [145] Höfig I, Atkinson MJ, Mall S, et al. Poloxamer synperonic F108 improves cellular transduction with lentiviral vectors. J Gene Med 2012;14(8):549–60. [https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.2653.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgm.2653) PMid: 22887595.
- [146] Schott JW, León-Rico D, Ferreira CB, et al. Enhancing lentiviral and alpharetroviral transduction of human hematopoietic stem cells for clinical application. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev 2019;14:134-47. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2019.05.015) [10.1016/j.omtm.2019.05.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2019.05.015). PMid: 31338385.
- [147] Nathwani AC, Cochrane M, McIntosh J, et al. Enhancing transduction of the liver by adeno-associated viral vectors. Gene Ther 2009;16(1):60-9. http [doi.org/10.1038/gt.2008.137.](https://doi.org/10.1038/gt.2008.137) PMid: 18701909.
- [148] Aktas Z, Rao H, Slauson SR, et al. Proteasome inhibition increases the efficiency of lentiviral vector-mediated transduction of trabecular meshwork. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2018;59(1):298–310. [https://doi.org/10.1167/](https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.17-22074) [iovs.17-22074](https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.17-22074). PMid: 29340644.